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Obstructive Sleep Apnea

The Elephant in the Cardiovascular Room

Despite considerable advances in the relatively young field of sleep research, the importance of obstructive sleep apnea (OSA) remains underappreciated. A vast body of evidence based on animal, human cross-sectional and longitudinal research, and interventional studies suggests that OSA is associated with significant cardiovascular risk factors. In addition, new therapeutic targets are needed in the cardiovascular arena given that improvements in outcomes have plateaued in many studies. Unfortunately, however, OSA remains the “elephant in the room” and often is ignored even in high-risk patients. A telling example comes from the diabetes field, with recent data indicating that 86% of obese patients with type 2 diabetes also experience clinically significant OSA, with <5% of these patients receiving OSA treatment 1 year after both the patient and his or her primary physician have received the diagnosis.

The reasons that OSA is often overlooked as a potentially reversible cardiovascular risk factor are complex but probably lie in the small but growing number of mechanistic studies in this area. The profile of OSA would also be lifted by the execution of large-scale, multicenter, randomized controlled trials of continuous positive airway pressure (CPAP) with hard cardiovascular outcomes, which is a current research focus. The design of such trials presents an ethical challenge: Reductions in daytime sleepiness and neurocognitive impairment are likely to result from administering CPAP to symptomatic patients with OSA, which promotes a reluctance to randomize hypersomnolent patients (at risk for car accidents) to a long-duration arm without active treatment. Restricting entry criteria to nonsleepy patients presents issues around CPAP adherence because such patients may not perceive symptomatic benefit, and there is some evidence that only very small reductions in BP result from CPAP in nonsleepy patients. Thus, a negative result in a large-scale randomized controlled trial of CPAP in asymptomatic patients with OSA may simply mean that those who would demonstrate the greatest improvement were systematically excluded during recruitment. Thus, surrogate outcome measures that accurately predict fatal and nonfatal cardiovascular events are critical, allowing for shorter trial durations and, therefore, a reduced ethical dilemma.

In this issue of CHEST (see page 674), Colish et al present new evidence that CPAP treatment is associated with a reduction in right atrial and ventricular mass as demonstrated by both transthoracic echocardiography and cardiac MRI (CMR), with no concurrent changes evident in a range of cardiac biomarkers that were within normal ranges at baseline. Strengths of the study include the multiple follow-up visits (3, 6, and 12 months after the initiation of CPAP) and the targeting of patients with a high Epworth Sleepiness Scale score at baseline. Such studies are crucial in defining the time period needed to see important cardiovascular morphologic and physiologic changes resulting from CPAP therapy in OSA. These data are critical to the design of future randomized protocols. Further, this study likely will have a substantial impact on clinicians and researchers outside the sleep field who are perhaps more likely to appreciate CMR outcome measures over polysomnography-derived outcomes, such as the apnea-hypopnea index. Left ventricular mass has been shown to predict future cardiac events and decreased survival in patients with heart failure. By demonstrating left ventricular remodeling with CPAP in an OSA sample, Colish et al have helped to fill this research gap.

Despite the obvious strengths of the study, it has some limitations. The lack of a control group does not allow the beneficial cardiac remodeling to be attributed to CPAP; the natural history of CMR measurements among the OSA population is unknown, and therefore, it is possible that some degree of changes may have occurred without the addition of CPAP. As in all uncontrolled studies, diet, exercise, changes in medications, and medication adherence could have been affected by close monitoring and, thus, could have affected the outcomes. CPAP adherence in this study was high, and the fact that patients who are willing and able to enroll in a research study and are adherent to CPAP also may be more likely to embrace positive lifestyle changes should not be overlooked. However, this “healthy user” effect also can complicate randomized controlled trials if imbalances occur in the two arms after randomization.

As with most novel research, the study by Colish et al has generated several questions for clinicians and scientists in the field. For the clinician, is CMR a useful clinical tool to monitor cardiovascular improvements, and will this approach help to improve CPAP adherence? Can pretreatment CMR act as a reliable marker for identifying patients at high cardiovascular risk, even possibly those with mild OSA, such as patients with heart failure?
that they can be rapidly provided with CPAP and comprehensive pharmacotherapy alongside intensive support to optimize adherence? Does the high cost of CMR outweigh these benefits in an era of health-care reform? For the scientist, what are the early pathologic and physiologic changes in the heart due to OSA, and what is the chronologic sequence and reversibility of these changes? Are there other surrogate cardiac imaging markers that could be used to detect adverse ventricular remodeling, even when chamber volumes, mass, and function appear normal? What duration of CPAP and level of adherence are required to see important changes in CMR? Can other OSA treatments, such as oral appliances, surgery, or alternative pressure modes, cause improvements in cardiac morphology and physiology similar to those seen with CPAP? Finally, if future studies are able to attribute irrefutably improvements seen with CMR to CPAP, are these changes predictive of hard cardiovascular end points such as myocardial infarction and stroke in OSA?

Clearly, much work remains in answering these questions and elucidating the mechanistic link between OSA and cardiovascular disease, with studies such as that by Colish et al9 paving the way for this future research. We applaud the authors for moving us one step closer to the widespread appreciation of OSA.
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The Lung Cancer Stage Page

There When You Need It—StagingLungCancer.org

It seems that life gets more and more complicated; this is true as well of the International Union Against Cancer (UIICC) and American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC) seventh edition of the lung cancer staging system. The increased granularity brought about by the huge increase in the database and the underlying analysis has made the system less intuitive and difficult, if not impossible, to remember. Both for those who deal with lung cancer occasionally and for focused subspecialists, this increased level of